

InCoag PT/APTT: Canine and Feline Performance & Reliability

Gregory O. Voronin, DVM
Michelle Larsen, DVM



InCoag Coagulation Analyser


Introduction

The InCoag Coagulation Analyser is a state-of-the-art, point-of-care diagnostic analyser providing rapid assessment of the secondary system of blood coagulation for dogs and cats.

Haemostasis refers to a group of intricate, balanced physiologic processes that maintain the free flow of blood within vessels while also allowing the rapid formation of platelet plugs to seal injured vessels to ensure appropriate blood flow without bleeding. Normal haemostasis is dependent on the interactions of several major components including platelets, clotting factors, and blood vessels.¹ If one or more components of haemostasis is abnormal, haemorrhage or inappropriate clotting could occur. Laboratory testing of the different components of haemostasis is available to help diagnose and monitor different disease states that can result in abnormal haemostasis.

Haemostasis is sub-divided into primary and secondary haemostasis (coagulation). Activation of primary haemostasis through blood vessel injury results in the formation of a vascular adherent platelet plug. Secondary haemostasis stabilises the platelet plug by creating a fibrin mesh through the activation of soluble, circulating clotting factors. An imbalance in this process results in coagulopathy or bleeding disorder.²

For clinical decision-making purposes, secondary haemostasis can be thought of as a chain of activations in three pathways: the intrinsic, extrinsic, and common pathways.²



The InCoag provides rapid testing of both the extrinsic pathway via Prothrombin Time (PT) and the intrinsic pathway via Activated Partial Thromboplastin Time (APTT) from a single 100 µl citrated whole blood (CWB) sample. After filling the sample port, the CWB is drawn into reagent coated microchannels. The sample is then circulated in these channels to ensure proper reagent-sample mixing. While this is occurring, sample pressure and oscillation rate is measured to determine PT and APTT values.

A series of studies were performed to investigate the performance of the InCoag. Precise and accurate assessment of your patient's PT and APTT are provided by the InCoag. This technical summary of the InCoag includes the evaluation of:

- Precision performance studies of the InCoag
- Canine method comparison
- Feline method comparison

Precision Performance Studies of the InCoag³

This study was conducted to assess the precision performance of the InCoag veterinary point-of-care coagulation analyser using control material with normal and prolonged coagulation times.

Materials & Methods

The precision performance of the InCoag citrated whole blood PT/APTT cartridge was measured using bilevel control material.⁴ Bio-Rad® control material Level 1 was used to test precision as a normal control and Bio-Rad® control material Level 3 was used as the abnormal control material. A volume of 100 µl of each level of control material was used for each run. Runs were randomised across 2 different instruments and 2 different operators.

Results

The mean result of 12 runs of Level 1 control material for PT was 21.4 +/- 1.3 seconds with a coefficient of variation (CV%) of 6.01%. The mean result of 12 runs of Level 1 control material for APTT was 40.2 +/- 1.6 seconds with a coefficient of variation of 4.09%. The mean result of 11 runs of Level 3 control material for PT was 33.9 +/- 1.5 seconds with a coefficient of variation of 4.38%. The mean result of 12 runs of Level 3 control material for APTT was 71.80 +/- 3.04 seconds with a coefficient of variation of 4.24%.

Precision Performance Results

Level 1	PT (sec)	APTT (sec)
MEAN	21.4	40.2
SD	1.3	1.6
CV%	6.01%	4.09%

Level 3	PT (sec)	APTT (sec)
MEAN	33.9	71.80
SD	1.5	3.04
CV%	4.38%	4.24%

SD standard deviation; CV% coefficient of variation.

The precision performance for PT and APTT measured with CWB cartridges with both normal and prolonged control material was deemed acceptable. Additionally, these values compare favorably with both published standards for coagulation testing and published studies of veterinary instruments.⁵⁻⁸

Canine Method Comparison⁹

Materials & Methods

A total of 50 CWB samples were obtained from client owned dogs of 18 different breeds. Samples were collected from dogs with and without coagulopathies. These samples were transferred into the manufacturer validated citrated tubes after venipuncture with a 3 mL syringe and butterfly catheter. An additional 24 samples were spiked with unfractionated heparin (UFH) in varying amounts to mimic abnormal coagulation times. Each CWB sample was run on two InCoag analysers simultaneously, obtaining values for PT and APTT. Each sample was then centrifuged to yield citrated plasma and then run on one Sysmex® CA-1500 coagulation reference analyser to generate PT and APTT values for method comparison.

Results


The results from 50 canine samples and 22 UFH spiked samples for PT and 50 canine samples and 24 UFH spiked samples for APTT were used for the comparison study on the InCoag instruments and the Sysmex® CA-1500. Linear regression and calculation of correlation coefficient (R) were evaluated to assess the overall agreement of PT

and APTT times between the InCoag and the Sysmex® CA-1500. Correlation of PT values demonstrate an R of 0.932 and for APTT an R of 0.937. These R values demonstrate strong correlation between the InCoag and Sysmex® CA-1500 reference analyser across the ranges of canine PT and APTT values.

TEST	n	Sample Value Distribution		Correlation Equation	R
		low (sec)	high (sec)		
PT	72	17.40	35.70	$y = 0.3787x + 15.438$	0.9371
APTT	74	8.10	58.0	$y = 0.8624x + 3.3746$	0.9315


Displayed below are the correlation graphs for canine PT results using the InCoag versus the Sysmex® CA-1500 (Figure A).

Figure A



Displayed below are the correlation graphs for canine APTT results using the InCoag versus the Sysmex® CA-1500 (Figure B).

Figure B



Feline Method Comparison⁹

Materials & Methods

A total of 60 CWB samples were obtained from client owned cats of 9 different breeds. Samples were collected from cats with and without coagulopathies. Clinical samples were transferred into the manufacturer validated citrated tubes after venipuncture with a 3 mL syringe and butterfly catheter. An additional 11 samples were spiked with unfractionated heparin (UFH) in varying amounts to mimic abnormal coagulation times. Each CWB sample was run on 2 InCoag analysers simultaneously, obtaining values for PT and APTT. Each sample was then centrifuged to yield citrated plasma and then run on 1 Sysmex[®] CA-1500 coagulation reference analyser to generate PT and APTT values for method comparison.


Results

The results from 60 feline samples and 11 UFH spiked samples for PT and 59 feline samples and 11 UFH spiked samples for APTT were used for the comparison study on the InCoag and the Sysmex[®] CA-1500. Linear regression and calculation of correlation coefficient (R) were evaluated to assess the overall agreement of PT and APTT times between the InCoag and the Sysmex[®] CA-1500. Correlation for PT and APTT values were 0.885 and 0.913 respectively. These R values demonstrate strong correlation across the range of feline PT and APTT values between the InCoag and Sysmex[®] CA-1500 reference analyser.

TEST	n	Sample Value Distribution		Correlation Equation	R
		low (sec)	high (sec)		
PT	71	15.5	30.3	$y = 0.7713x + 7.946$	0.9134
APTT	70	21.2	45.8	$y = 0.429x + 14.451$	0.8851


Displayed below are the correlation graphs for feline PT results using the InCoag versus the Sysmex[®] CA-1500 (Figure C).

Figure C



Displayed below are the correlation graphs for feline APTT results using the InCoag versus the Sysmex® CA-1500 (Figure N).

Figure D



Summary

These studies demonstrate that InCoag is a precise and accurate point-of-care analyser for the evaluation of the secondary system of blood coagulation in dogs and cats.

References

1. McMichael M. New models of hemostasis. *Top Companion Anim Med*. 2012 May;27(2):40-5. doi: 10.1053/j.tcam.2012.07.005
2. Brooks MB, Catalfamo JL. Current diagnostic trends in coagulation disorders among dogs and cats. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract*. 2013 Nov;43(6):1349-72, vii. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2013.07.003
3. Data on File. TI-11967 Study Report DHX6Z-US-24-278. Zoetis, Inc.
4. Bio-Rad® Lyphocheck Coagulation Control. Accessed 3/17/2024. <https://www.bio-rad.com/en-us/product/lyphocheck-coagulation-control?ID=4c52cb47-49a0-4df1-85b6-7841505d48b8>
5. CLSI. One-Stage Prothrombin Time (PT) Test and Activated Partial Thromboplastin Time (APTT) Test 2nd ed. CLSI guideline H47. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2008
6. Gardiner C, Kitchen S, Dauer RJ, Kottke-Marchant K, Adcock DM. Recommendations for evaluation of coagulation analyzers. *Lab Hematol*. 2006;12(1):32-8. doi: 10.1532/LH96.05031
7. Kitchen S, Woolley A. Evaluation of the Q analyzer, a new cap-piercing fully automated coagulometer with clotting, chromogenic, and immunoturbidometric capability. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis*. 2013 Jan;24(1):28-34. doi: 10.1097/MBC.0b013e3283597621
8. Measurement of prothrombin time (PT) and activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) in dogs using a point-of-care coagulometer. Andrea C Holmes, Elsa Crawford, Marta Costa and Kostas Papisoulitis. BSAVA Congress Proceedings 2015
9. Data on File. Study No.: TI-11906. Zoetis, Inc.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge scientists Elise Krcatovich and Christine Mulligan for their help in these studies.